Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form   Revised Request ☐

Application No.:___10/047248____   First Named Applicant:_____ Himmel

Examiner:_____Vu, Kieu D_____   Art Unit:_____ 2173   Status of Application:_Non-Final OA

Tentative

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER Participants:

(1)____Mark S. Walker (Atty for assignee)   (2)_____

(3)_____   (4)___**AUG 1 1 2005**_____

Proposed Date of Interview:_8/17/05_   Proposed Time:_2 PM EDT_ (AM/PM)
Type of Interview Requested:

(1) [X] Telephonic   (2) [ ] Personal   (3) [ ] Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [X] YES   [ ] NO
If yes, provide brief description:_____See attached proposed amendment to claim 1 _

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues Claims/ (Rej., Obj., etc)	Discussed Agreed Fig. #s	Prior Art		Not	Agreed
(1)__102 rej__	_____	_Shuster_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(2)__103 rej__	_____	_Shuster/Dorian	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(3)_____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(4)_____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:
Shuster does not teach each and every claimed element of amended claim. No motivation to
combine Shuster and Dorian. Combination would be inoperable. (See attached)

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).
This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Mark S. Walker (signature)

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature   Examiner/SPE Signature

Mark S. Walker (Tel: 512-823-5884)

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

30699

Registration Number, if applicable

Ser. No. 10/047248   Proposed Amendment   AUS92001122US1
Filed: 01/16/2002

Proposed Amendment

1. (Currently Amended) A method for managing web content displayed at a client device
during one or more browser sessions comprising:
    responsive to a request for a first web page, displaying the first web page at the
client device through a browser session;
    determining a first user specified timeout condition for said browser session:
    detecting a timeout condition in the browser session; and
    displaying a second predetermined user specified web page at the client device.

Discussion

This claim, as amended, is respectfully submitted to be patentable over the cited art. This
claim 1 now includes elements similar to those in Claim 7 of the original application.

35 USC §102

This claim is patentable over Shuster because Shuster does not teach all elements of the
claim. Shuster is directed to an advertising system that sends unsolicited material from a
server when a user computer is inactive. The user has no control over the time or what is
displayed and inclusion of those features would render Shuster inoperable for its intended
purpose.

35 USC §103

Shuster and Dorian do not teach or suggest this claim either singly or in combination.
Furthermore, there is no motivation to combine these references. Dorian is directed to a
parental control system in which only preapproved web pages are displayed. The
preapproved list is stored on a server and controls which pages are downloaded to the
client machine. Shuster is specifically directed to displaying unsolicited information on
the client system. There is no motivation in add the preapproval features of Dorian since
these would frustrate the purpose of Shuster to display unsolicited material. Similarly,
since Dorian is directed at controlling what is displayed at the client, its purpose would be
frustrated by permitting display of unsolicited content.